Exhibit 6D July 22, 2014 K. Orr Deposition Transcript

	Page 162		Page 164
1	KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2	1	KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2
2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	2	STEPHEN C. HACKNEY, ESQ.
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN	3	Kirkland & Ellis, LLP
4		4	300 North Lasalle Street
5		5	Chicago, Illinois 60654
6		6	Appearing on behalf of Syncora.
7	In Re:) Chapter 9	7	
8		8	
9	CITY of DETROIT, MICHIGAN,) Case No. 13-53846	9	
10	Deltas A. Harrista and Blacks	10	JEFFREY BEELAERT, ESQ.
11	Debtor.) Hon. Steven Rhodes	11	Sidley Austin, LLP
12 13		12 13	1501 K Street, N.W.
14	VOLUME 2	14	Washington, D.C. 20005
15	VOLUME 2	15	Appearing on behalf of National Public Financing.
	The Videotomed Demonstrate of KEVAVALORD		
16 17	The Videotaped Deposition of KEVYN ORR, in his personal capacity and as Rule 30(b)(6) witness,	16 17	
18	Taken at 2 Woodward Avenue,	18	EDNEST I ESSAD ID ESO
19	Detroit, Michigan,	19	ERNEST J. ESSAD, JR., ESQ. Williams, Williams, Rattner & Plunkett, P.C.
20	Commencing at 9:10 a.m.,	20	380 North Old Woodward Avenue, Suite 300
21	Tuesday, July 22, 2014,	21	Birmingham, Michigan 48009
22	Before Leisa M. Pastor, CSR-3500, RPR, CRR.	22	Appearing on behalf of Financial Guaranty Insurance
23	Before Leisa W. Fastor, CSR-5500, Kr K, CKK.	23	Company.
24		24	company.
25		25	
	Page 163		Page 165
1	KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2	1	KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2
2	APPEARANCES:	2	ALFREDO R. PEREZ, ESQ.
3	711 27110 111025.	3	Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP
4	GREGORY M. SHUMAKER, ESQ.,	4	700 Louisiana Street, Suite 1700
5	DAN T. MOSS, ESQ.	5	Houston, Texas 77002
6	Jones Day	6	Appearing on behalf of Financial Guaranty Insurance
7	51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.	7	Company.
8	Washington, D.C. 20001	8	
9	Appearing on behalf of the Debtor.	9	
10		10	
11		11	LISA SCHAPIRA, ESQ.
12		12	Chadbourne & Parke, LLP
13		13	30 Rockefeller Plaza
14	ROBERT HERTZBERG, ESQ.	14	New York, New York 10112
15	Pepper Hamilton, LLP	15	Appearing on behalf of Assured Guaranty Municipal
16	4000 Town Center, Suite 1800	16	Corporation.
17	Southfield, Michigan 48075	17	
18	Appearing on behalf of Debtor.	18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	

Page 340 Page 338 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 2 2 foundations, correct? A. I think I have to. 3 3 A. That is correct. You know, I may -- let me say this Q. If I ask you did the foundations ever offer to 4 generally. I may have had meetings with foundation 4 contribute money without insisting on transfer of the 5 principals outside of the confines of the mediation, 5 art institute, you'll decline to answer that question, 6 6 just hail-fellow-well-met, saw them at an event, how correct? are you. There were no substantive conversations 7 A. I think I have to. about the contribution that did not occur outside of 8 Q. And if I ask you hey, who is it that imposed the 9 the mediation order. 9 condition on the Grand Bargain that the art institute 10 1.0 Q. And that's fine, because the only ones that I really would be transferred, was it you, or was it them, or 11 11 want to ask you about are ones that relate to the was it Judge Rosen, you'll decline to answer those 12 **Grand Bargain?** 12 questions, correct? 13 A. Right, right. 13 A. I believe so. 14 Q. And those would fall under the gambit of the 14 Q. Mr. Orr, has the Grand Bargain -- which you know what I'm talking about, right? 15 15 mediation? 16 A. Those would fall under the gambit of mediation. 16 A. Yes, the money we talked about before, the 366 million 17 17 from the foundations, a \$350 million value settlement Q. Now, if I asked you your state of mind based on what 18 18 you understood the foundations to be willing to do or from the State, and \$100 million from the DIA 19 19 what you thought they would be willing to do, you benefactors as funneled through the Founders' Society. 20 20 would also invoke the mediation order to the extent Q. Correct, in exchange for the art -- in connection with 21 his state of mind was created by communications of the 21 the art being -- the DIA being conveyed into a public 22 foundation, correct? 22 trust, correct? 23 23 A. Contributions targeted towards the two pension funds MR. SHUMAKER: I think that's right because 24 24 with the condition that not one piece of art be sold I don't see how he could give you his impressions or 25 25 his understanding without going into what was going on or de-assessed as a result of this process. Page 339 Page 341 1 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 **KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2** Q. And the purpose of the transfer to a public trust is 2 in the mediation. 3 3 MR. HACKNEY: Right, because he lacks to ensure that the art is never sold to satisfy the 4 claims of the City's creditors, correct? 4 foundation to speak to what the foundations thought. 5 5 A. Yes, now and forever, yes. If I asked him what he understood them to have 6 6 Q. Not only current creditors but future ones, as well? thought, you'll take the position that it would be 7 based on what they told him? A. Correct. 8 MR. SHUMAKER: Correct, it all would have 8 Q. So has the Grand Bargain, Mr. Orr, helped the COPs 9 9 been derived from the mediation discussions. holders to achieve a higher recovery? 10 MR. HACKNEY: Okay, and so I'll just note 10 A. I don't think so. 11 for the record, Mr. Shumaker, that this is the 11 Q. Mr. Orr, what are the principal terms of the LTGO 12 12 position that Ms. Kofsky (ph.), a cop, took in a prior settlement? A. The LTGO settlement centers around a dedicated millage 13 13 deposition, and I understand the basis for it. I will 14 14 let you know that I don't necessarily agree with it that's to extend for the next approximately 13 years, 15 based on comments that Judge Rhodes made about how 15 and the terms of a settlement that roughly 26 16 16 percent -- oh, the LTGO, I'm sorry -state of mind might work in the mediation context, but 17 17 Q. Yeah. it doesn't matter because I feel like we're not going 18 18 A. Okay, I'm sorry, I'm going -- I thought you were just to work that out today anyway. 19 19 MR. SHUMAKER: Understood. talking about -- I'm doing it temporally --20 BY MR. HACKNEY: 20 Q. That's okay. 21 Q. And I just want to understand you all's position on 21 A. I'm sorry. 22 2.2 Q. I'm hopping around. it. So just a couple big ones, if I ask you did you 23 23 A. Okay. ever ask the foundations to contribute money with no 24 24 Q. Let's start over. strings attached you'll decline to ask answer that 25 A. Let's start over. question, correct?

Pages 338 to 341

Page 342 Page 344 **KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2** 1 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 2 2 Q. So let's set the stage. The LTGO settlement has been but I asked like Heather for this, Ms. Lennox, and she 3 3 announced in the press, and there's some information actually referred me to this information. that's kind of available about it, but I actually 4 5 literally don't know --5 Q. But then I wasn't able to confirm that that was the 6 6 A. Right. whole deal and so that's why you have this big 7 Q. -- what the terms are, and there's been some involved --8 8 suggestion that it's the continued subject of A. Right. 9 negotiations, so I want to give you a fair setup. 9 Q. -- lead-in, okay? So let's just start with, is it 10 10 A. Yeah, that's -- that's why I was -- I can talk about your understanding that -- let's do it this way. Is 11 11 it your understanding that at least part of the deal 12 MR. SHUMAKER: You can discuss what's made 12 that is part of the agreement in principal that is public is that they will get approximately 34 cents on 13 public. 13 14 A. Okay. The mediators issued a statement on the LTGOs 14 their unsecured claim? 15 15 we did not, my office did not, recognizing that there A. Yeah. Without having any intent to directly or 16 was a settlement which, in part, dealt with a class of 16 indirectly violate the mediation order, I do not think 17 creditors. I think 170-some-odd-million dollars of 17 it is unfair based upon published reports, but I do 18 18 claims, which would get an allowed claim in a certain not recall that the mediation statement included the 19 19 amount. The -- I know from e-mails that I received as actual amount. 20 20 O. It didn't. late as last night that some of the final details are 21 still under discussion so I'm a little -- that was 21 A. Yeah, so I don't -- I don't want to necessarily go 22 done in the mediation, so I don't want to run afoul of 22 beyond what was included in that statement, I think 23 the mediation order as far as if you have a press 23 the statement was generally there was a settlement of 24 24 a certain amount and recognition of a claim. I'll release, I'll be happy to discuss about what's in the 25 25 release but I don't know if I can discuss any more stick with that. There is no reason for me to believe Page 343 Page 345 1 **KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2** 2 than that. 2 that mathematically that that 55 percent of roughly 3 3 BY MR. HACKNEY: 100 --4 4 Q. It's frankly been kind of confused on this, but I'll O. No. 34 percent. 5 5 A. No, 55 million of 170-some-odd million is equally tell you what I know. First, it's my understanding 6 6 that you do not have a final agreement with the LTGO; equivalent to 34 percent. 7 7 is that correct? Q. But like as you -- I mean, I'm trying to tell you that 8 A. I think that is correct. 8 it's not just, you know, me -- it's like the debtor's 9 9 Q. What you have is what is loosely described as an counsel told me to look at these things to get at 10 10 least some of the terms. agreement in principal on some but not all of the 11 terms, correct? 11 A. And like I said, I have no reason to dispute what you 12 12 were told or what they did; I just don't want to do A. I think that's fair. 13 13 it, okay? Q. Now, the -- but the one thing I'm able to see, I'll 14 tell you, in the expert reports is that Mr. Buckfire 14 Q. Okay. 15 says that the \$164 million of the unsecured portion of 15 A. So I'm -- I'm trying to stay within -- I have been 16 16 LTGO is getting \$55 million in value of some form, admonished before about possible breaches of the 17 mediation privilege by -- by several judges now and 17 okay? I'll represent to you you can see that in the 18 exhibit. I'll also represent to you that somehow in 18 don't want to run afoul of that in any way. 19 19 Mr. Malhotra's work there is some implication that Q. So is it fair to say, Mr. Orr, that I think you're 20 that is paid in 2015 under the forecasts, okay? I'm 20 declining to discuss the terms of the LTGO settlement 21 less sure on that one, okay? 21 based on caution about not knowing what is and what is 22 22 A. Right. not public? 23 23 Q. What I will tell you is that 55 million on 164 million A. I think that's fair. 24 24 of unsecured LTGO works out to a 34-cent recovery on Q. Okay. I guess what I will say then is I'm going to 25 25 that, okay? So -- and I'm -- this is going on and on, reserve my questioning on this, this is also a

Page 370 Page 372 **KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2** 1 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 2 2 Q. That's exactly right, so the way to say it is when the about this idea that the DWSD is supposed to be a 3 3 City is looking at its UAAL obligations to the GRS it closed system; do you remember that? 4 says to itself, well, part of this UAAL is 4 5 attributable to former or current DWSD workers, right? 5 Q. And you do understand that -- that one of the notions 6 6 is that the reason the City believes it can charge the 7 Q. And it figures out what that percentage is and then it 7 DWSD for its fair share of either UAAL or COPs 8 charges that percentage against the DWSD enterprise 8 principal and interest service is because those are 9 fund, correct? 9 fairly considered overhead expenses of the system, 10 10 A. Yes correct? 11 11 Q. And it earmarks a request for money from the DWSD MR. SHUMAKER: Object to the form. 12 enterprise fund to pay that percentage, correct? 12 BY MR. HACKNEY: 13 A. Yes, I'm unsure if the direct mechanics of whether or 13 Q. Because they relate to employees that worked for the 14 14 not that money is paid directly to the GRS fund or if system and are part of the true cost? 15 15 it comes into the City and goes to GRS as part of the A. Yeah, I think you could call it overhead, we -- you 16 City's overall contribution but there is a percentage 16 know, I've always looked at it as just the City has a 17 relationship for DWSD's share of the GRS obligation. 17 whole number of employees, a certain number of them 18 18 Q. And when the COPs came along and ostensibly at least, are employed at an enterprise fund and there needs to 19 19 plugged the hole in the UAAL that existed back in the be a -- roughly equivalent payment relative to those 20 20 time, the similar -- the City similarly employed the employees at that function at that department. 21 same sharing mechanism with respect to interest and 21 Q. But you also understand that the characterization of 22 22 principal expense for the COPs, right? it actually matters under, like, the bond documents, 23 23 A. Was there an allocation of the COPs funding related to right? GRS/DWSD employees? 24 24 A. Right. 25 25 Q. Right. Q. Don't you? Page 371 Page 373 1 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 1 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 2 A. Yes, I believe so. 2 A. Yeah. 3 Q. In fact, you and I have looked at that before, I 3 Q. It has to be characterized, I think, as overhead 4 4 think, where we've seen one of those kind of expense in order to be fairly charged against the 5 5 complicated allocations you see because remember when system? 6 you didn't pay the COPs in June --6 A. That's fair, if that's what you're getting at --7 7 A. Right. Yeah. 8 Q. -- that had implications for, you know, your 8 A. -- as the nomenclature, yes. 9 appropriations from the DWSD? 9 Because you can't just say I'd like some money from 10 A. Yeah, allocable -- allocable share --10 the DWSD, right? 11 Q. That's right. 11 A. There has to be a reason within the terms of the 12 A. -- allocable share, yes. 12 documents that would justify that allocation. 13 13 Q. And is it correct that the allocable share of the Q. That's right, and the reason we've discussed is the 14 DWSD, whether it's to UAAL or to COPs interest and 14 fact that a certain percentage of the retirees are 15 principal service, is approximately 11 percent? 15 former DWSD employees, right? 16 16 A. I don't recall the exact percentage, but I think it's A. Yes. 17 17 in that range. Q. Okay. Now, if you charge the DWSD for its 18 Q. Okay, I was wondering if you -- I tried to figure it 18 contribution, isn't it fair to say that the City has 19 19 out -to actually use the money in the way that it tells the 20 20 DWSD it's going to use it? A. Yeah. 21 Q. -- by looking at it and I couldn't and I wondered if 21 A. Generally speaking, yes. 22 22 Q. I mean you can't, like, charge the DWSD for its 23 A. At one point I probably did, but I just don't recall 23 percentage of the COPs principal and interest service 24 24 and then take the money and go build a park with it? 25 Q. Now, you talked a lot with Mr. Neal the other day 25 A. Generally speaking, I think that's true.

Page 374 Page 376 **KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2** 1 2 Q. Okay. Do you agree that if the petition -- the 2 Q. Oh, I see. 3 3 bankruptcy petition were dismissed, it's likely that A. Yeah. 4 at a minimum, the City could continue to get from the 4 Q. Because do the pensioners get -- I thought the 5 DWSD its share of the COPs principal and interest 5 pensioners don't get B notes, do they? 6 6 service? A. No, but I'm trying to -- I'm trying to --A. I have no reason to believe that is not true. 7 Q. Because I thought that -- that was the nine-year 8 payment that you matched up with the Grand Bargain, Q. The DWSD is not insolvent; isn't that correct? 8 9 MR. SHUMAKER: Object to the form. 9 but that was cash money --10 A. Yeah, I -- I -- there -- there may be -- I don't know 10 A. Yeah, that was --11 if they are or they aren't. 11 Q. -- over the retirement --12 BY MR. HACKNEY: 12 A. That payment is year over year for nine years that's 13 Q. In the -- in the postconfirmation time period, if the 13 indexed to the possibility of restoration, that's why 14 14 plan is confirmed, will the DWSD bear any of the it's nine years. I'm not sure that goes into what 388 15 15 interest expense associated with the B notes? million B note but -- I'm trying to make sure that I 16 A. There are currently a series of mediations ongoing 16 don't bump up against any discussions that are going 17 surrounding DWSD and its obligations. I don't want to 17 in -- that are ongoing. 18 bump up against the confidentiality provisions that 18 Q. Okay. I mean, is it a fair summary to say you don't 19 I've been admonished not to -- not to breach. That 19 know whether the forecast allocated a percentage of 20 20 being said, I think I can answer your question. Can the B note interest expense through the DWSD or not? 21 21 you repeat your question? A. Yeah, I'd say that. 22 Q. Let's try it this way, Mr. Orr. 22 Q. Okay. Let's talk about the Grand Bargain some more if 23 23 we could, Mr. Orr. A. Yeah. 24 24 Q. Let's try it this way. A. Sure. 25 25 Q. Do you know -- the Grand Bargain can also be -- is A. Yeah. Page 375 Page 377 1 **KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2** 1 KEVYN ORR, VOLUME 2 2 Q. There are forecasts that you've reviewed, right? 2 also known as the DIA settlement, correct? 3 A. Right. 3 A. Yeah, people call it different things, but I think 4 Q. And the forecasts include postconfirmation forecasts 4 it's fair that people call it either one of those. 5 that assume the plan of confirmation, right? 5 Q. Okay, and so the way it works, we've talked about it, 6 6 but the DIA settlement is the -- is the contributions 7 7 Q. In those forecasts, does the City bear the entirety of of the charitable foundations and the DIA Corp. in 8 the B note interest expense? That's a good way to 8 connection with the art collection going into a public 9 9 back into it. trust, correct? 10 10 A. Okay, or is there some expense allocated to an A. Yes. 11 enterprise --11 Q. And then the state contribution of its money has a 12 12 Q. Exactly right. number of bells and whistles to it but is, itself, 13 13 A. I think your question -- that way of doing it, I think conditioned on the DIA settlement? 14 your question is fair. It does not bear the entirety 14 A. Well, yes, it's conditioned on a settlement of claims 15 of it; there is an allocation. 15 against the State relating to that provision of the 16 16 Q. Oh, there is an allocation? constitution, article 9, section 24 regarding pension 17 A. I think that --17 rights and also in part for the DIA settlement and the 18 Q. Let's put it this way. The answer to that question 18 art to be put into the trust. 19 19 should be found in the forecast? I literally don't Q. Yeah, and that's what I meant by the other bells and 20 20 whistles. Like even if the retirees gave the State a know. 21 A. No, but I --21 waiver, that's actually not sufficient for the State 2.2 Q. I was literally asking you a discovery question. 22 contribution. You have to get the DIA settlement, as 23 23 A. Well, I'm trying -- there is an allocation of 428 well? 24 million at DWSD that is supposed to go to help finance 24 A. Yes. 25 25 Q. When did you agree to the Grand Bargain? Let me put the note. I think I can speak to that.